

DURGAPUR INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY & MANAGEMENT

G.T. ROAD, RAJBANDH, DURGAPUR - 12

1st / 2nd Internal Test of 20 _____

BRANCH - _____

SEMESTER - _____

NAME _____

NAME OF PAPER _____ PAPER CODE _____

COLLEGE ROLL NO. _____ DATE _____

MARKS OBTAINED _____

Signature of the invigilator
Date : _____

Signature of Examiner
Date : _____

Q. Eg. prove that $\vdash p \rightarrow \sim \sim p$ is a theorem, called modus Tollens.

Q. Eg. $\{ p \rightarrow q, \sim q \} \vdash \sim p$ prove that

Ans prove $\{ p \rightarrow q, \sim q \}$

hypothesis $p \rightarrow q$ (1)

hypothesis $\sim q$ (2)

theorem $\sim \sim p \rightarrow p$ (3)

\therefore By transitivity $\sim \sim p \rightarrow q$ (4) [By 3, 1]

theorem $q \rightarrow \sim \sim q$ (5)

\therefore By transitivity 4, 5, $\sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim \sim q$ (6)

By axiom 3, 6. $(\sim \sim p \rightarrow \sim \sim q) \rightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$ (7)

From 6, 7, Modus Ponens $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$ (8)

By 2, 8, Modus Ponens $\sim p$ (9)

Hence $\{ p \rightarrow q, \sim q \} \vdash \sim p$ proved.

Q. Eg. $\{ p \} \vdash (\sim q \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$

Ans prove $\{ p \} \vdash (\sim q \rightarrow \sim \sim p)$

Hypothesis P --- (1)
 By Axiom 2 $P \rightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow P)$ --- (2)
 From (1)(2), Modus Ponens $\neg Q \rightarrow P$ --- (3)
 Theorem $P \rightarrow \neg\neg P$ --- (4)
 By 3, 4, Transitivity $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg\neg P$ --- (5)
 Hence $\{P\} \vdash (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg\neg P)$ proved.

Q. Show that $\{P\} \vdash (\neg P \rightarrow Q)$.

To prove $\{P\} \vdash (\neg P \rightarrow Q)$
 Hypothesis P --- (1)
 From axiom 2 $\neg P \rightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$ --- (2)
 Theorem $P \rightarrow \neg\neg P$ --- (3)
 By 2, 3, Transitivity $\neg P \rightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg\neg P)$ --- (4)
 From (1), 4, Modus Ponens $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg\neg P$ --- (5)
 By axiom 3 $\neg P \rightarrow Q$ --- (6)

Deduction theorem

If Σ is a set of hypotheses and α and β are well formed formulae then $\{\Sigma \cup \alpha\} \vdash \beta$ implies $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

Proof Given $\{\Sigma \cup \alpha\} \vdash \beta$ where $\Sigma = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is a set of hypotheses, α, β are wff. We have to prove $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$...

There are two cases

Case 1 $\Rightarrow \beta \in \Sigma$ or β is an axiom.

Case 2 $\Rightarrow \beta$ is derived from λ_i and $\lambda_j \rightarrow \beta$

for case 1:

Axiom/hypotheses β ----- ①

By Axiom 1. $\beta \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ ----- ②

By Modus ponens 1, 2 $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$

Hence $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ is proved.

for case 2:

hypothesis λ_i ----- ①

Deduction assumed $\lambda_i \rightarrow \beta$ ----- ②

Axiom 1. $\lambda_i \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_i)$ ----- ③

By MP. ①, ③ $\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_i$ ----- ④

By Axiom A1. $(\lambda_i \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow (\lambda_i \rightarrow \beta))$ ----- ⑤

From 2, 5, Modus ponens $\alpha \rightarrow (\lambda_i \rightarrow \beta)$ ----- ⑥

By Axiom 2. $(\alpha \rightarrow (\lambda_i \rightarrow \beta)) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_i) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta))$ ----- ⑦

From 6, 7, Modus ponens $(\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_i) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ ----- ⑧

By 4, 8, Modus ponens $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ ----- ⑨

Hence $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ proved.

Converse of Deduction theorem

Given $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ prove that $\{\Sigma \cup \alpha\} \vdash \beta$.

Proof Deduced from Σ $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$. given ①

hypothesis assumed α ----- ②

By ①, ② & M.P we have β .

$\therefore \{\Sigma \cup \alpha\} \vdash \beta$ proved

Eg. Prove by deduction theorem

$$\{(\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg\neg Q)\} \vdash (P \rightarrow Q)$$

Ans. Prove $\{(\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg\neg Q)\} \vdash (P \rightarrow Q)$

Hypothesis $(\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg\neg Q)$ --- ①

By axiom 3 $(\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg\neg Q) \rightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$ --- ②

By ①, ②, Modus ponens $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ --- ③

By axiom 3 $(\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$ --- ④

By 3, 4, Modus ponens $(P \rightarrow Q)$ --- ⑤

Hence $\{(\neg\neg P \rightarrow \neg\neg Q)\} \vdash (P \rightarrow Q)$ proved.

Eg 2 $\neg P \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$ proof that by deduction theorem.

Prove $(\neg P) \vdash (P \rightarrow Q)$

Hypothesis $\neg P$ --- ①

By Axiom 1 $\neg P \rightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$ --- ②

By ①, ②, Modus ponens $(\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$ --- ③

By Axiom 3. $(\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$ --- ④

By 3, 4, Modus ponens $(P \rightarrow Q)$ --- ⑤

Hence $\neg P \vdash (P \rightarrow Q)$ proved.

Eg 3. establish $\{P, Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)\} \vdash (Q \rightarrow R)$ using deduction theorem

Prove $\{P, Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)\} \vdash (Q \rightarrow R)$ ^{equivalently} $P, Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R), Q \vdash R$

Hypothesis P --- ①

" $Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$ --- ②

Let " Q --- ③

By ②, ③, m.p) $P \rightarrow R$ --- ④

By (1.4, m.p) R --- ⑤

Hence proved $Q \rightarrow R$

7 DURGAPUR INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY & MANAGEMENT

By Anam A R → (Internal Test of 20)

BRANCH: Pran S, S, M.P (G → R) - - (2) SEMESTER: _____

NAME: Shufan { P, (G → (R → R)) } 1 - (G → R) - proved.

NAME OF PAPER: _____ PAPER CODE: _____

COLLEGE ROLL No. _____ DATE: _____

MARKS OBTAINED _____

Signature of the Invigilator _____

Signature of Examiner _____

Defⁿ A truth valuation is a function ^{mapping from} ~~of~~ a set of wff's to the set {T, F}, such that for any wff α, β .

$\therefore V(\neg \alpha) \neq V(\alpha)$

$V(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = F$ iff $V(\alpha) = T$ & $V(\beta) = F$.

Defⁿ // Soundness and Completeness in Axiomatic System

Theorem:
If α is a formula in Axiomatic System then α is a theorem iff α is valid.

Proof

Semantic tableaux

It is a system of building proofs of formula systematically by using set of semantic rules that are applied on formulae to establish that the formulae are ~~either~~ consistent or inconsistent.

Semantic tableau

It is a binary tree constructed by using semantic tableaux rules with a formula as root.

Let α, β be any two formulae and P, Q, R be atoms.